

scriptions in an unlicensed location where her pharmacist license was posted and signs and advertising indicated the location was a pharmacy. Respondent was fined \$2,500 and must attend a one-day Law Seminar in the years 2003 and 2004.

DPh, #11307 – Case 643

Respondent entered into an Agreed Order with the Board. He was found guilty on five (5) counts of violation of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Act relating to the violation of his OPHP contract, the diversion of drugs from a pharmacy in which he was employed, and violation of the rules of professional conduct. His pharmacist license was suspended for a period of ten (10) years until November 19, 2012. He must enter into and abide by a contract with OPHP, complete an inpatient treatment program for the impaired, and attend a one-day Law Seminar in the years 2003 and 2004.

DPh #8755 – Case 646

Respondent entered into an Agreed Order with the Board. He was found guilty on five (5) counts of violation of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Act relating to the diversion of drugs from a pharmacy in which he was employed and violation of the rules of professional conduct. His pharmacist license was suspended for a period of ten (10) years until November 19, 2012. The suspension was stayed and his license was placed on probation. He must enter into and abide by a contract with OPHP, attend an inpatient treatment for the impaired, pay a total fine of \$1,000, and attend a one-day Law Seminar in the years 2003 and 2004.

DPh, #11243 – Case 647

Respondent entered into an Agreed Order with the Board. She was found guilty on four (4) counts of violation of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Act relating to violation of the rules of professional conduct, stealing merchandise while practicing pharmacy, and possession of a CDS without a valid prescription. Her pharmacist license was suspended for a period of ten (10) years until November 19, 2012. The suspension was stayed and her license placed on probation. She must enter into and abide by a contract with OPHP and attend a one-day Law Seminar in the years 2003 and 2004.

Dani Lynch, DPh #10335 – Case 635

Respondent entered into an Agreed Order with the Board. She agreed to guilt on two (2) counts of violation of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Act relating to failure to establish and maintain effective controls against the diversion of prescription drugs and failure to maintain an accurate annual inventory of controlled dangerous drugs. Her pharmacist license was suspended for a period of one (1) year with all of the suspension stayed and placed on probation. She must attend a one-day Law Seminar in the years 2003 and 2004 and was fined a total of \$1,750.

S-W Pharmacon, #1-3788 – Case 641

Respondent entered into an Agreed Order with the Board and pled no contest to four (4) counts of violation of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Act relating to the presence of employees in the pharmacy without a pharmacist on duty, failure to establish and maintain effective controls against the diversion of prescription drugs, allowing a person who does not hold a technician permit to perform technician duties, and filling prescriptions without the authorization of a physician. Respondent agreed to implement a "Plan of Correction" and the pharmacy license was suspended until June 30, 2004 with all of the suspension stayed and placed on probation. Respondent was fined a total of \$4,000.

John Cleveland, DPh, #8989 – Case 639

Respondent was found guilty of four (4) counts of violation of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Act relating to allowing a non-pharmacist to provide patient counseling and drug information, allowing non-pharmacists to enter and operate the pharmacy in the absence of the pharmacist, and failure to establish and maintain effective controls against the diversion of prescription drugs. Respondent's pharmacy license was suspended for a period of two (2) years with all of the suspension stayed and placed on probation. He must attend a one-day Law Seminar in the years 2003 and 2004 and was fined a total of \$2,000.

DPh, #11238 – Case 590 Application to Revoke Probation

Respondent was found guilty of violating the terms of his original Board Order of March 28, 2001. His pharmacist license was suspended until March 28, 2011.


Oklahoma Pharmacists Helping Pharmacists

If you or a pharmacist you care about is suffering from chemical dependency, there is a solution. Oklahoma Pharmacists Helping Pharmacists (OPHP) is readily available for help. Pharmacists in Oklahoma, Texas, and Louisiana may call the OPHP Help-Line at 1-800/260-7574, ext 5. All others may call OPHP at 405/528-3338. All calls are confidential.


Page 4 – January 2003

The *Oklahoma State Board of Pharmacy News* is published by the Oklahoma State Board of Pharmacy and the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy Foundation, Inc, to promote voluntary compliance of pharmacy and drug law. The opinions and views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the official views, opinions, or policies of the Foundation or the Board unless expressly so stated.

Bryan H. Potter - State News Editor
Carmen A. Catizone, MS, RPh, DPh - National News Editor
& Executive Editor
Courtney M. Karzen - Editorial Manager



OKLAHOMA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY
National Association of Boards of Pharmacy Foundation, Inc
700 Busse Highway
Park Ridge, Illinois 60068



Oklahoma State Board of Pharmacy

Published to promote voluntary compliance of pharmacy and drug law. 4545 N Lincoln Blvd, Suite 112, Oklahoma City, OK 73105-3488

Best Wishes for the New Year from the Members and Staff of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Board!

Board Meeting – September 25-26, 2002

Emergency Immunization Rules Approved

The Oklahoma State Board of Pharmacy adopted emergency rules pertaining to pharmacist administration of immunizations. These rules were effective immediately upon the Governor's approval on October 16, 2002, at 5:00 PM. Pharmacists must complete an approved training course and register with the Board prior to administering immunizations. The immunization rules and registration form may be downloaded at www.pharmacy.state.ok.us.

Disciplinary Action

Robin Thornburg, Tech #5506 – Case 634

Respondent's pharmacy technician permit was revoked for unlawful possession of a controlled dangerous substance (CDS).

DPH, #11364 – Case 502 Request for Probation

Respondent's license was placed on probation for the remainder of the original order until June 26, 2007.

Ben Powell, DPh, #8418 – Case 554 Request for Probation

Upon reinstatement, respondent's license will be placed on probation for the remainder of the original order until February 28, 2005. He must work six (6) months under the supervision of another pharmacist.

Tanya Marmon, Technician #4541 – Case 636

Respondent's pharmacy technician permit was revoked for unlawful possession of a controlled dangerous substance (CDS).

DPH, #11277 – Case 512 Request for Probation

After reinstatement, respondent's license was placed on probation for the remainder of the original order until November 21, 2007. He must work three (3) months under the supervision of another pharmacist.

DPH, #11834 – Case 603 Application to Revoke Probation

Respondent was found guilty of violating the terms of her original Board Order of September 26, 2001. Her license was removed from probation and suspended for the period of October 1, 2002, to October 31, 2002. Her license was placed on probation as of November 1, 2002, until September 25, 2011. She was fined a total of \$500.

DPH, #11918 – Case 631

Respondent entered into an Agreed Order with the Board. He was found guilty of three (3) counts of violation of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Act relating to unlawful possession of a CDS and violation of the rules of professional conduct. Respondent's license was suspended indefinitely.

Board Meeting – November 20-21, 2002

Pharmacy Interns Appear Before Board

Pharmacy interns Robert Lacy and Sherry Ray were called before the Board for review. Both interns had let their intern license expire and had continued their rotations. After review and testimony, the Board agreed not to impose sanctions providing they agree to attend a one-day Law Seminar in 2003 in addition to their regular continuing education (CE).

Disciplinary Action

Cathy Hall, Technician #5098 – Case 640

Respondent's pharmacy technician license was revoked for the theft of prescription drugs while working as a pharmacy technician and possession of a CDS without a valid prescription.

Harold Hutton, DPh #7373 – Case 642

Respondent was found guilty of one (1) count of violation of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Act after receiving two warning notices within a twelve-month period. Respondent received a warning notice on November 13, 2001, for failure to remove outdated medications from active stock and on September 18, 2002, for misfilling a prescription. A letter of reprimand will remain in his Board file for a period of one year and he must complete two continuing education courses pertaining to errors.

Kaushik Daji, DPh, #11326 – Case 644

Respondent was found guilty of one (1) count of violation of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Act after receiving two warning notices within a twelve-month period. Respondent received a warning notice on June 6, 2001, and April 18, 2002, for misfilling a prescription. A letter of reprimand will remain in his Board file for a period of one year and he must complete two continuing education courses pertaining to errors.

DPH, #11265 – Case 638

Respondent entered into an Agreed Order with the Board. He was found guilty of five (5) counts of violation of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Act relating to the theft of merchandise while practicing pharmacy, possession of a CDS without a valid prescription, and violation of the rules of professional conduct. His pharmacist license was suspended for a period of ten (10) years until November 19, 2012. All of the suspension is placed on probation. He must enter into and abide by a contract with Oklahoma Pharmacists Helping Pharmacists and attend a one-day Law Seminar in the years 2003 and 2004. He was fined a total of \$1,000.

Katherine Dossey, DPh #10322 – Case 637

Respondent was found guilty on five (5) counts of violation of the Oklahoma Pharmacy Act relating to receiving and delivering pre-

Continued on page 4